UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/664,487 | 09/17/2003 | Dong-Hee Kim | 2060-3-71 | 4362 | |
| 35884 7590 10/10/2007 LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA 660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | CUTLER, ALBERT H | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2622 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/10/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------|
| | 10/664,487 | KIM, DONG-HEE |
| ! | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | |
| | Albert H. Cutler | 2622 |

| | Albert H. Cutler | 2622 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | | • | | | | |
| 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on | | | andonment of | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complifollowing time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff ti ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining httperiod of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fe statutory period for reply originally set | ee. The appropriate externion in the final Office action | ension fee under 37 ; or (2): ás rth in (b) | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | " " 07.050.44.07 | 6 1 1 21 1 1 | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. | tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal o | f the appeal. | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | hut prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered | hecause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | _ | | because | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | 12 20.011, | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL -324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | , | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | • | • | • | | | |
| 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-20 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing | a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the | date of filing a brief | will not be | | | |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In addition to the modifications previously found in the dependent claims and now incorporated into the independent claims, the independent claims now further include the limitation that the camera has a third degree of movement. This limitation raises new issues and would require a further search..

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER